

The talk will argue that the most recent and sophisticated semantic analysis of Internally Headed Relative (IHR) constructions in Japanese/Korean, i.e., Kim (2007), is fundamentally flawed in its design in (at least) the following two ways: [i] it is unable to deal with IHRs with deeply embedded internal heads, and [ii] it incorrectly proposes to capture a generalization that requires the temporal intersection of eventualities in the relative and the matrix by means of formal binding. Furthermore, it will be argued that the analysis is conceptually objectionable in appealing, much like earlier work on which it seeks to build, to the E-type strategy in an inappropriate way, a move that also has the effect of representing these IHRs as unnecessarily *sui generis*.

An alternative analysis will be proposed, which separates the temporal intersection requirement from the procedure that selects an internal head, which avoids the empirical problems faced by Kim's approach, and which also permits a smooth integration of these IHRs into the larger class of definite/maximalizing relative constructions, while at the same time accounting for *prima facie* similarities between such IHRs and E-type anaphora, but without involving the latter in the analysis of the former.